ATRIAL FIBRILLATION

ABHIJEET SINGH, MD CARDIAC ELECTROPHYSIOLOGIST MCLAREN BAY REGION HOSPITAL

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

• Speaker's Bureau – Biosense Webster

OUTLINE

- Epidemiology and Risk Factors
- Anticoagulation
- Management Options

OUTLINE

- Epidemiology and Risk Factors
- Anticoagulation
- Management Options

DEFINITIONS

Paroxysmal AF

• Persistent AF



Early Persistent

Long Standing Persistent

Permanent AF

DEFINITIONS

Lone Atrial fibrillation

Chronic Atrial fibrillation

EPIDEMIOLOGY







. Chugh SS, et al. Worldwide epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: a Global Burden of Disease 2010 Study. Circulation 2014;129(8):837–847

EPIDEMIOLOGY



Figure Probabilistic range of uncertainty around the projected AF prevalence estimate for sensitivity analysis by simultaneously varying all the input parameters used in model. The probabilistic range of AF prevalence estimates is represented by the upper 10% likelihood (*blue dashed line*) and the lower 10% likelihood estimate (green dotted line) around the base AF prevalence estimate with logarithmic incidence growth rate projection (*purple solid line*).

Colilla S, et al. Estimates of current and future incidence and prevalence of atrial fibrillation in the U.S. adult population. Am J Cardiol 2013;112(8):1142–1147.

EPIDEMIOLOGY



MECHANISMS



Schematic drawing showing various hypotheses and proposals concerning the mechanisms of atrial fibrillation. A: Multiple wavelets hypothesis. B: Rapidly discharging automatic foci. C: Single reentrant circuit with fibrillatory conduction. D: Functional reentry resulting from rotors or spiral waves. E: AF maintenance resulting from dissociation between epicardial and endocardial layers, with mutual interaction producing multiplying activity that maintains the arrhythmia.

- Approximately 2% of people younger than age 65 have atrial fibrillation, while about 9% of people aged 65 years or older have atrial fibrillation.¹
- African Americans are less likely than those of European descent to have atrial fibrillation.¹
- Among people of European descent, the lifetime risk of developing AF after age 40 is 26% for men and 23% for women.²

1 https://www.cdc.gov/dhdsp/data_statistics/fact_sheets/fs_atrial_fibrillation.htm

.2 Lloyd-Jones DM, et al. Lifetime risk for development of atrial fibrillation: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation 2004;110(9):1042–1046.



2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation

A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society

Obesity

Risk of New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation According to BMI*

	Cases, No.	Controls, No.		
BMI Measure	(n = 425)	(n = 707)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> Value
Categorical				.002 For trend
Normal (18.5-24.9)	100	147	1.00	
Overweight (25.0-29.9)	138	252	0.97 (0.68-1.38)	
Obese class 1 (30.0-34.9)	99	171	1.18 (0.80-1.73)	
Obese class 2 (35.0-39.9)	44	82	1.34 (0.82-2.18)	
<u>Obese_class 3 (≥40.0)</u>	44	55	2.31 (1.36-3.91)	
Per-unit incremental	425	707	1.03 (1.01-1.05)	.001

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. *Models are adjusted for sex, treated hypertension, and age (cubic spline). Adjustment for additional potential confounding factors did not alter risk estimates substantially.

Dublin S, et al. Risk of new-onset atrial fibrillation in relation to body mass index. Arch Intern Med 2006;166(21):2322–2328.



Long-Term Effect of Goal-Directed Weight Management in an Atrial Fibrillation Cohort A Long-Term Follow-Up Study (LEGACY) Rajeev K. Pathaket al Journal of the American College of Cardiology Volume 65, Issue 20, May 2015

Risk factors

Sleep Apnea

A variety of mechanisms have been implicated in the pathogenesis of OSA-associated AF.

Autonomic Nervous System modulation

- Hypoxia
- •LA dilatation
- •Hypercapnia

Study ID	RR (95% CI)	Events, Treatment	Events, Control	% Weigh
OSA vs non-OSA				
Jongnarangsin K. 2008	- 1.61 (1.16, 2.22)	19/32	108/292	7.70
Patel D. 2010	1.21 (1.03, 1.43)	152/640	462/2360	71.19
Matiello M. 2010	1.53 (1.21, 1.92)	33/42	68/132	11.86
Fein A.S. 2013	1.35 (0.76, 2.41)	28/62	10/30	4.87
Naruse Y. 2013	1.71 (0.89. 3.31)	43/116	8/37	4.38
Subtotal (<i>I</i> -squared = 15.9%, <i>P</i> = 0.313)	1.31 (1.16, 1.48)	275/892	656/2851	100.00
OSA and no CPAP vs non-OSA		Margaret and		
Jongnarangsin K. 2008	1.93 (1.34, 2.78)	10/14	108/292	5.98
Patel D. 2010 -	1.49 (1.24, 1.80)	95/325	462/2360	67.68
Matiello M. 2010	1.38 (1.04, 1.82)	22/31	68/132	15.65
Fein A.S. 2013	1.90 (1.07, 3.38)	19/30	10/30	6.05
Naruse Y. 2013	2.45 (1.23, 4.88)	18/34	8/37	4.64
Subtotal (<i>I</i> -squared = 8.6%, <i>P</i> = 0.358)	1.57 (1.36, 1.81)	164/434	656/2851	100.0
OCA+CPAP vs non-OSA				
Jongnarangsin K. 2008	- 1.35 (0.83, 2.20)	9/18	108/292	20.08
Patel D. 2010	0.92 (0.72, 1.19)	57/315	462/2360	23.54
Matiello M. 2010	- 1.86 (1.52, 2.28)	11/11	68/132	24.03
Fein A.S. 2013	0.84 (0.40, 1.79)	9/32	10/30	15.74
Naruse Y. 2013	1.41 (0.70, 2.83)	25/82	8/37	16.61
Subtotal (<i>I</i> -squared = 87.9%, <i>P</i> = 0.000)	1.25 (0.77, 2.03)	111/458	656/2851	100.0
NOTE: Weights are from random-effects analysis (bottom)				
	1			
.5 1 1.5 2	2.5			

Figure 2 Forest plot in the comparison of AF recurrence after catheter ablation in patients with OSA and non-OSA (top), OSA and no CPAP vs non-OSA (middle), OSA + CPAP and non-OSA (bottom).

Li L, et al. Efficacy of catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation in patients with obstructive sleep apnea with and without continuous positive airway pressure treatment: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Europace 2014; 16(9):1309–1314



Odds ratios of incident atrial fibrillation associated with average achieved systolic blood pressure

Thomas MC, et al. Blood pressure control and risk of incident atrial fibrillation. Am J Hypertens 2008;21(10):1111–1116.

FIGURE 1 Kaplan-Meier Curves of Freedom From Recurrent ATa for Different Groups After First Ablation



Santoro F, et al. Impact of Uncontrolled Hypertension on Atrial Fibrillation Ablation Outcome. JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology 2015; 1(3):164–173.

Alcohol

•Alcohol consumption at varying degrees could increase the likelihood of incident AF and might also elevate the risk of thromboembolic events and post ablation recurrence in patients with AF.

•The ARREST-AF study demonstrated significant reduction in symptom severity, burden, and recurrence rate in patients with risk factor management that included lowering alcohol intake to 30 g per week.



1 Qureshi WT, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and risk of incident atrial fibrillation: results from the Henry Ford Exercise Testing (FIT) project. Circulation 2015; 131 (21):1827–1834



of

Figure 2 Meta-analysis of AF risk in athletes compared with controls.

Abdulla J, Nielsen JR. Is the risk of atrial fibrillation higher in athletes than in the general population? A systematic review and metaanalysis. Europace 2009; 11(9):1156–1159

OUTLINE

- Epidemiology and Risk Factors
- Anticoagulation
- Management Options



CHA₂DS₂-VASc score

Risk Factor	Score
C - Congestive heart failure	1
H - Hypertension	1
A - Age ≥ 75 yrs	2
D - Diabetes mellitus	1
S ₂ - Prior stroke or TIA	2
V - Vascular disease	1
A - Age 65-74 years old	1
Sc - Sex category (female)	1

HAS-BLED score

Condition	Points	HAS-BLED	Bleeds per 100
H - Hypertension	1	score	patient-years
A - Abnormal renal or liver function (1		0	1.13
point each)	1 or 2	1	1.02
S - Stroke	1	2	1.88
B - Bleeding	1	3	3.74
L - Labile INRs	1	4	8.70
E - Elderly (> 65 years)	1	5	12.5
D - Drugs or alcohol (1 point each)	1 or 2		

Note: HAS-BLED has been validated for warfarin, but not for the new anticoagulants.

Pisters R et al. Chest 2010;138(5):1093-1100.

- Aspirin
- Warfarin
- Dabigatran (Pradaxa®)
- Rivaroxaban (Xarelto®)
- Apixiban (Eliquis®)
- Edoxoban (Savaysa®)



Valentin Fuster et al. Circulation. 2006;114:e257-e354

Dabigatran versus Warfarin in NVAF—RE-LY Trial



Connolly SJ et al. NEJM 2009;361:1139-1151

Rivaroxaban versus Warfarin in NVAF—ROCKET AF Trial



Apixaban versus Warfarin in NVAF—ARISTOTLE Trial



Granger CB et al. NEJM 2011;365:981-992

Edoxaban versus Warfarin in NVAF—ENGAGE AF Trial



Figure. Odds Ratios of Intracranial Hemorrhage With Use of Novel Oral Anticoagulants

	NOAC	Cs, No.	Compar	ator, No.	Odds Ratio, M-H. Random	Fay	vors Favors	Weight.
Source	Events	Total	Events	Total	(95% CI)	NO	ACs Comparators	%
Granger et al, ⁵ 2011	52	9088	122	9052	0.42 (0.30-0.58)	-		28.0
Ogawa et al, ⁷ 2011	0	143	1	75	0.17 (0.01-4.30)	،		0.8
Connolly et al, ⁸ 2011	11	2808	13	2791	0.84 (0.38-1.88)			10.0
Connolly et al, ³ 2009	63	12091	87	6022	0.36 (0.26-0.49)	-	_	28.0
Hori et al, ⁶ 2012	5	639	10	639	0.50 (0.17-1.46)	1 <u>0</u>		6.2
Patel et al, ⁴ 2011	55	7061	84	7082	0.65 (0.46-0.92)		-8-	27.1
Total (95% CI)	186	31830	317	25661	0.49 (0.36-0.65)	<	\diamond	100.0
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.05$; $\chi_5^2 = 9$	9.13 (P=.10); / ² =	45%				(
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.87	7,P<.001					0.01 0.1	1.0 10	
						Odds Ratio, M-H, R	andom (95% CI)	

M-H indicates Mantel-Haenszel; NOACs, novel oral anticoagulants.

Chatterjee et al JAMA Neurol. 2013;70(12):1486-1490



Figure 1: Stroke or systemic embolic events

Data are n/N, unless otherwise indicated. Heterogeneity: *l*²=47%; p=0·13. NOAC=new oral anticoagulant. RR=risk ratio. *Dabigatran 150 mg twice daily. †Rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily. ‡Apixaban 5 mg twice daily. §Edoxaban 60 mg once daily.

Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Christian TRuff et al Lancet Vol 383 March 15, 2014

	Pooled NOAC (events)	Pooled warfarin (events)			RR (95% CI)	р
Efficacy						
Ischaemic stroke	665/29292	724/29221		\rightarrow	0.92 (0.83-1.02)	0.10
Haemorrhagic stroke	130/29292	263/29221	\longrightarrow		0.49 (0.38-0.64)	<0.0001
Myocardial infarction	413/29292	432/29221		\rightarrow	0.97 (0.78-1.20)	0.77
All-cause mortality	2022/29292	2245/29221		\Diamond	0.90 (0.85-0.95)	0.0003
Safety						
Intracranial haemorrhage	204/29287	425/29211	\rightarrow	5	0.48 (0.39-0.59)	<0.0001
Gastrointestinal bleeding	751/29287	591/29211	×	$\vdash \diamond \frown$	1.25 (1.01–1.55)	0.043
		0.2	0-5	1	2	
			Favours NOAC	Favours warfar	in	

Figure 2: Secondary efficacy and safety outcomes

Data are n/N, unless otherwise indicated. Heterogeneity: ischaemic stroke l^2 =32%, p=0·22; haemorrhagic stroke l^2 =34%, p=0·21; myocardial infarction l^2 =48%, p=0·13; all-cause mortality l^2 =0%, p=0·81; intracranial haemorrhage l^2 =32%, p=0·22; gastrointestinal bleeding l^2 =74%, p=0·009. NOAC=new oral anticoagulant. RR=risk ratio.

Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Christian TRuff et al Lancet Vol 383 March 15, 2014



Figure 3: Major bleeding

Data are n/N, unless otherwise indicated. Heterogeneity: I²=83%; p=0.001. NOAC=new oral anticoagulant. RR=risk ratio. *Dabigatran 150 mg twice daily. †Rivaroxaban 20 mg once daily. ‡Apixaban 5 mg twice daily. §Edoxaban 60 mg once daily.

Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Christian TRuff et al Lancet Vol 383 March 15, 2014

Advantages of DOACs

- Superior or comparable efficacy in reducing stroke/systemic embolism.
- Reduced rate of intra-cranial hemorrhage.
- No need for monitoring of levels.
- Rapid onset and offset.
- Minimal interaction with food.

Disadvantages of DOACs

- High cost.
- Lack of a reversal agent (except dabigatran).
- Higher risk of major GI bleed with some.



Marzec, L.N. et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69(20):2475-84.



Anticoagulation





Minimally Invasive, Local Solution

• Available sizes: 21, 24, 27, 30, 33 mm diameter

Intra-LAA design

• Avoids contact with left atrial wall to help prevent complications

Nitinol Frame

- Conforms to unique anatomy of the LAA to reduce embolization risk
- 10 active fixation anchors designed to engage tissue for stability

Proximal Face

- Minimizes surface area facing the left atrium to reduce post-implant thrombus formation
- 160 micron membrane PET cap designed to block emboli and promote healing

Warfarin Cessation

- 92% after 45 days, >99% after 12 months¹
- 95% implant success rate¹

			HR	p-value
Efficacy			0.82	0.3
All stroke or SE			0.96	0.9
Ischemic stroke or SE	-		1.7	0.08
Hemorrhagic stroke	·•		0.2	0.0022
Ischemic stroke or SE >7 days	<u> </u>		1.4	0.3
Disabling/Fatal Stroke (MRS char	nge of ≥2) →		0.45	0.03
Non-Disabling Stroke			1.37	0.35
CV/unexplained death			0.59	0.03
All-cause death			0.73	0.04
Major bleed, all			0.91	0.6
Major bleeding, non procedure-related	— —		0.48	0.0003
	Favors WATCHMAN ←	→ Favors Warfarin		
0.01	0.1 1		10	
Hazard Ratio (95% CI)				

- One-time implant that does not need to be replaced
- Performed in a cardiac cath lab/EP suite, does not need hybrid OR
- Performed by a Heart Team

•		Post Procedure Therapy		Destination Therapy	ialist
•	Transf (Does	Warfarin + ASA (81mg) daily	Clopidogrel (75mg) + ASA (325 mg) daily	ASA (325mg) daily	
•	Gener [Implant 45 da *if leak >5mm, patien	ays* 6 months ts remained on warfarin + ASA until seal do	cumented, skipping the clopidogrel + ASA pharmacotherapy	
•	1 hour pr	ocedure*			
•	1-2 day h	ospital stay	*		

OUTLINE

- Epidemiology and Risk Factors
- Anticoagulation
- Management Options

Recommended Therapies for Heart Rate and Rhythm Control in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation

Whether a rate control or rhythm control strategy is chosen is very specific to each individual patient. Factors to consider are: ability to tolerate medications, degree of symptoms, degree of functional limitation, occupation, age, and other co-morbidities. While many practitioners may have preferences for a particular strategy, the ACC recommends following the guidelines referenced below¹ and considering referral to a cardiologist with experience managing heart rhythm disorders.

Table 1: Recommended Drug Doses for Heart Rate Control in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation

Drug*	Dose	Loading or Starting Dose [†]	Maintenance Dose [†]	Potential Adverse Effects**
Amiodarone ^{9,1}	IV	150 mg over 10 min	0.5-1 mg/min	hypotension, heart block, sinus bradycardia.
	Oral	800 mg PO daily x 1 week, then 600 mg PO daily x 1 week, then 400 mg PO daily x 4 to 6 weeks, then 200 mg daily	Individual to patient	bronchospasm, HF, pulmonary toxicity, skin discoloration, hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism, corneal deposits, optic neuropathy, warfarin interaction See black box warnings for this drug
Atenolol ²	Oral	25-100 mg daily	Same as starting dose	hypotension, heart block, bradycardia, bronchospasm, HF
<u>Carvedilol</u> ²	Oral	3.125-25 mg every 12 hrs (up to 50mg every 12 hrs for patients >85 kg). May use carvedilol sustained release 10-80 mg daily	Same as starting dose	hypotension, heart block, bradycardia, bronchospasm, HF
		Sustained release 10 00 mg duily		See black box warnings for this drug
Digoxin ^{1,4}	IV	0.25 mg every 4-6 hrs up to 1 mg	0.125-0.25 mg daily (or orally)	life threatening arrhythmia, perceived color change, heart block, bronchospasm
Diltiazem ^{1, 2}	IV	0.25 mg/kg over 2 min. 2 nd bolus can be given if HR > 100 bpm.	5-15 mg/hr	
	Oral	Start with a non-sustained release dose 120-480 mg daily. Can switch to a slow-release/extended release dose, which is available and preferred	Same as starting dose	hypotension, heart block, HF
Esmolol ¹	IV	500 mcg/kg over 1 min	50-200 mcg/kg/min	hypotension, heart block, bradycardia, bronchospasm, HF
				See <u>black box warnings</u> for this drug
Metoprolol ^{1,2}	IV	2.5-5 mg bolus over 2 min, up to 3 doses	N/A	hypotension, heart block, bradycardia,
	Oral	25-100 mg twice daily. May use metoprolol succinate ER 25-200 mg daily	Same as starting dose	bronchospasm, HF See <u>black box warnings</u> for this drug
Verapamil ^{1,2,4}	IV	0.075-0.15 mg/kg over 2 mins. 2 nd bolus can be given in 15-30 mins if needed	N/A	
	Oral	Start with a non-sustained release dose 120-480 mg daily. Can switch to a slow-release/extended release dose, which is available and preferred	Same as starting dose	hypotension, heart block, HF

[†]Dosages given in the table may differ from those recommended by the manufacturers. **Refer to prescribing information for more complete information.

[§]Amiodarone can be useful to control heart rate in patients with atrial fibrillation when other measures are unsuccessful or contraindicated

Notes: AF = atrial fibrillation; BID = twice a day; GI = gastrointestinal; IV = intravenous; HR = heart rate; HF = heart failure; N/A = not applicable.

Rhythm control



Anti-arrhythmic Medications



Ablation

Rhythm control



Anti-arrhythmic Medications



Ablation

Table 2: Recommended Drug Doses for Heart Rhythm Control in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation

100	Dose			
Drug*	Form	Loading or Starting Dose [†]	Maintenance Dose†	Potential Adverse Effects**
Amiodarone	Oral	Inpatient: 1.2 to 1.8 g per day in divided dose until 10 g total or 30 mg/kg as single dose <u>Outpatient</u> : 600 to 800 mg per day divided dose until 10 g total While 10 g desired to see max efficacy, does not have to be completed as an inpatient before fully loaded. ⁴	200-400 mg per day	hypotension, bradycardia, QT prolongation, torsades de pointes (rare), GI upset, constipation, phlebitis (IV), photosensitivity, pulmonary toxicity, polyneuropathy, hepatic toxicity, thyroid dysfunction, eye complications See <u>black box warnings</u> for this drug
<u>Dofetilide</u> ¹	Oral	Creatinine ClearanceDose> 60 mL/min= 500 mcg BID40-60 mL/min= 250 mcg BID20 to 40 mL/min= 125 mcg BID< 20 mL/min	125-500 mcg every 12 hrs, based on renal function. Must be initiated in hospital and patient must be registered to receive this drug. Adjust dose for renal function, body size and age.	QT prolongation, torsades de pointes See <u>black box warnings</u> for this drug
Dronedarone ²	Oral	400 mg twice daily, with meals	Same as starting dose	bradycardia, heart block, HF, hepatic toxicity, pulmonary toxicity, diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain, vomiting, asthenia, stroke, death See <u>black box warnings</u> for this drug
Flecainide ^{1,2}	Oral	200-300 mg ¹ [‡] When starting a patient on flecainide, it is prudent to do a treadmill stress test after the patient is fully loaded. ³	50 to 150 mg every 12 hrs ²	hypotension, atrial flutter with high ventricular rate, ventricular tachycardia, HF Close monitoring of this drug is required. See <u>black box warnings</u> for this drug
Ibutilide ^{1,2}	IV	1 mg over 10 min; repeat 1 mg when necessary (but risk of proarrhythmia increases)	N/A	QT prolongation, torsades de pointes See <u>black box warnings</u> for this drug
Propafenone ^{1,2}	Oral	600 mg	150-300 mg every 8 hrs, or sustained release 225-425 mg every 12 hrs	hypotension, atrial flutter with high ventricular rate See <u>black box warnings</u> for this drug
Sotalol ^{1,2}	Oral	80-160 mg, to a max of 320 mg every 12 hrs, based on renal function Creatinine clearance should be calculated prior to dosing.	Same as starting dose	torsades de pointes, HF, bradycardia, exacerbation of chronic obstructive or bronchospastic lung disease See <u>black box warnings</u> for this drug

⁺Dosages given in the table may differ from those recommended by the manufacturers.

*Insufficient data are available on which to base specific recommendations for the use of one loading regimen over another for patients with ischemic heart disease or impaired left ventricular function.

Notes: AF = atrial fibrillation; BID = twice a day; GI = gastrointestinal; IV = intravenous; HR = heart rate; HF = heart failure; N/A = not applicable.

Click on drug names in table for more detailed usage information for each drug.

The AFFIRM trial

- 4,060 patients randomized
- · Rate control vs. rhythm control
- Endpoint = All cause mortality



No survival advantage with DC cardioversions and anti-arrhythmic drugs

NTS

AFFIRM investigators, NEJM 2002; 347(23):1825-33.

Rhythm control



Anti-arrhythmic Medications



ABLATION

ABLATION



Figure 9 Schematic drawing showing catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation using either RF energy or cryoballoon AF ablation. A: Shows a typical wide area lesion set created using RF energy. Ablation lesions are delivered in a figure of eight pattern around the left and right PV veins. Also shown is a linear cavotricuspid isthmus lesion created for ablation of typical atrial flutter in a patient with a prior history of typical atrial flutter or inducible isthmus-dependent typical atrial flutter at the time of ablation. A multielectrode circular mapping catheter is positioned in the left inferior PV. **B:** Shows an ablation procedure using the cryoballoon system. Ablation lesions have been created surrounding the right PVs, and the cryoballoon ablation catheter is positioned in the left superior PV. A through the lumen multielectrode circular mapping catheter is positioned in the left superior PV. *Illustration: Tim Phelps* © 2017 Johns Hopkins University, AAM.

ABLATION





Figure Indications for catheter ablation of symptomatic atrial fibrillation. Shown in this figure are the indications for catheter ablation of symptomatic paroxysmal, persistent, and long-standing persistent AF. The Class for each indication based on whether ablation is performed after failure of antiarrhythmic drug therapy or as first-line therapy is shown.

2017 HRS/EHRA/ECAS/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation

CATHETER ABLATION OF AF AS FIRST-LINE THERAPY

• Patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation who have symptomatic pauses (tachy-brady syndrome).

•High-level competitive athletes with paroxysmal or persistent AF who want to avoid medications which could potentially reduce their peak heart rate and/or impair cardiac function.

•Patients with symptomatic paroxysmal or persistent AF and a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of \leq 35 percent.



Radiofrequency ablation vs. antiarrhythmic drug therapy as first line treatment of symptomatic atrial fibrillation: systematic review and meta-analysis Europace. 2015;17(3):370-378. doi:10.1093/europace/euu376

Pillars of management of atrial fibrillation

- Risk Factor Modification
- Risk Factor Modification
- Risk Factor Modification
- Anticoagulation
- Rate Vs. Rhythm control









Mellanie True Hills Founder & CEO, StopAfib.org

GET IN RHYTHM. STAY IN RHYTHM.™

ATRIAL FIBRILLATION PATIENT CONFERENCE

Featuring World-Renowned Afib Experts

Get 2017 Conference Replays Today

THANK YOU

ABHIJEET.SINGH@MCLAREN.ORG